

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,489	12/10/2004	Mitsuteru Kataoka	2004-1957A	5567
513 WENDEROTH	7590 08/09/2007 I, LIND & PONACK, L.I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			HO, ANDY	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
		4	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/517,489	KATAOKA, MITSUTERU
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Andy Ho	2194
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 De</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters	•
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl ity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
2) Notice (3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/04; 5/23/06.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the application filed 12/10/2004.

2. Claims 1-20 have been examined and are pending in the application.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 10 and 19-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: the parentheses, which contain numbers from figures, need to be removed. Appropriate corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-9 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims appear to define the metes and bounds of an invention comprised of software alone without claiming associated computer hardware required for execution. Software alone, without a machine, is incapable of transforming any physical subject matter by chemical, electrical, or mechanical acts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/517,489

Art Unit: 2194

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simpson U.S Patent No. 6,944,868.

As to claim 1, Simpson teaches a safe service extension platform in which services are associated with an execute form (implementing extension in a browser, lines 13-29 column 8), and the services are extended by changing or adding the execute form (lines 30-64 column 8), the platform comprising a service-dependent API for extending the services (...the imaging extension may be implemented of as an application programming interface...), wherein the service extension from the execute form is carried out only by calling the service-dependent API (...the generic access instructions from the web content, when executed, may cause imaging extension API calls to be issued to the API in order to effect..., lines 16-19 column 8).

As to claim 2, Simpson further teaches the service extension is to provide a new service (lines 6-22 column 11, lines 39-46 column 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,489

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 3, Simpson further teaches the service extension is to start using a service (lines 30-64 column 8).

As to claim 4, Simpson further teaches the extension of a target service is carried out only by calling the service-dependent API from an execute form associated with the target service (lines 41-64 column 8).

As to claim 5, Simpson further teaches a parent/child relationship is defined between a plurality of services, and in the case where a call for the service-dependent API requested by the execute form designates, as a process target, a service-dependent resource associated with a service, only when a service associated with the execute form is an ancestor of that service, service-dependent resource can be processed (lines 16-48 column 13).

As to claim 6, Simpson further teaches an execute form associated with a metaservice at least one of the services can be extended by the servicedependent API (lines 13-46 column 12).

As to claim 7, Simpson further teaches in the case of the execute form associated with the metaservice, all of the services can be extended by the service-dependent API, and in the case where the execute form is not associated with the metaservice, the service-dependent API is unable to extend the services (lines 13-46 column 12).

As to claim 8, Simpson further teaches the execute form is a control content which satisfies requirements that are to be satisfied by contents, the control content is transmitted as a content together with at least one of the contents, information for designating the control content from at least one of the

Application/Control Number: 10/517,489

Art Unit: 2194

contents, and the service-dependent API can be processed only by the control content (lines 13-46 column 12).

As to claim 9, Simpson further teaches the service-dependent API controls automatic storage of a specific service content (lines 13-46 column 12).

As to claim 10, Simpson further teaches the execute form is sent from at least one service provision portion, and received by at least one terminal device for executing the execute form (line 50 column 10 to line 5 column 11).

As to claim 11, it is a method claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

As to claim 12, it is a computer program product claim of claim 1.

Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

As to claims 13-14, they are system claims of claim 4. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 4 above.

As to claims 15-16, they are system claims of claim 5. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 5 above.

As to claims 17-18, they are system claims of claim 6. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 6 above.

As to claims 19-20, they are system claims of claim 10. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 10 above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2194

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIM) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or' Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 - 8300.

Art Unit: 2194

- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 –
   3762

A.H August 6, 2007